

REMARKS – General

By the above amendment, the applicant has amended the title so that it is more indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Old claims previously numbered 2,9 and 10 have been amended in respect of objections under 35 USC 112 in the detailed action. Previous claim 2 has been replaced by claim 20, previous claim 9 has been deleted and previous claim 10 has been replaced by claim 25.


The objection under 35 USC 101 (double patenting) has been overcome by the abandonment of the previously co-pending application .

Independent claims previously numbered 1,3 and 18 have been amended to define the invention more particularly and distinctly. Independent claims, now numbered 19, 29 and 34 have also been re-cast in a clearer presentational format.

Amendments have been made to dependant claims to further reflect embodiments of the invention that do not rely exclusively on the use of a remote control. In the previous submission, dependant claims were predicated only on claim 1 (remote control). Dependent claims have now also been based on claim 29 (local control) and claim 34 (control means).

Finally I would like to thank the patent examiner for his helpful assistance.

Very respectfully



Daniel Norris

Applicant and Inventor

24 Stanhope Avenue
Finchley,
London
N3 3LX
UK

January 3rd 2006

Application Number: 09/924,468

BEST AVAILABLE COPY